

10007433-1

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

Christopher Gregory Malone, et al.

Serial No.: 09/932,529

Filed: August 17, 2001

For: Daughter-Card  
Structural Support

Art Unit: 2827

Examiner: Tuan T. Dinh

Tel: (703) 306-5856

Office Action Mailed: Feb. 13, 2002

#3  
response to restriction  
J. Whit  
3-6-02

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Box Non-Fee Amendment  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Office Action dated February 13, 2002. In accordance with 37 CFR §1.136(a)(3), authorization is hereby granted to charge all required extension of time fees under 37 CFR §1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are pending in this case. Consideration of the application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action mailed February 13, 2002, the Examiner required restriction of prosecution to one of the following inventions: Embodiment I as exemplified in FIG. 2, Embodiment II as exemplified in FIG. 3, and Embodiment III as exemplified in FIG. 4.

FIG. 2 illustrates one embodiment of a daughter-card support after insertion of a daughter-card substrate (e.g., a PCB) into the daughter-card support, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 3 illustrates a daughter-card support with a "spine and rib" style of architecture, in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.

10007433-1

FIG. 4 illustrates a daughter-card support with a more preferred "circumferential" style of architecture, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention.


In response to the Examiner's Restriction Requirement, Applicants hereby provisionally elect the invention of Embodiment I as shown in FIG. 2, as recited in claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, and 20, subject to traverse. Non-elected claims 5, 6, 12, 17, and 18 are provisionally withdrawn from consideration. Claims 5 and 17 are provisionally withdrawn, since these claims read on the "spine and rib" embodiment of FIG. 3, and claims 6, 12, and 18 are provisionally withdrawn, since these claims read on the L-shaped brackets discussed with FIG. 3 and FIG. 4.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's restriction requirement on the grounds that all three embodiments are drawn to one invention, i.e., a daughter-card structural support. Applicants also point out that claims 1-20, and FIGs. 2, 3, and 4 disclose invention embodiments that should all be classified in the same class and subclass of invention. Therefore, these embodiments should not be subject to restriction.

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully point out that the same Examiner should examine all of the claims 1-20 in one patent application so as to maintain the efficiency of the Patent Office, and for the Examiner to utilize the same criteria for the examination of all the claims presented. Moreover, if this Restriction Requirement is not withdrawn, additional divisional patent applications will be required, which will cause vastly increased expenditures for prosecution of the additional divisional patent applications, and cause vastly increased expenditures for the USPTO patent application fees and patent maintenance fees by the assignee. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that this Restriction Requirement be withdrawn.

Applicants' undersigned attorney can be reached at (408) 248-1958. All correspondence should continue to be directed to the address previously indicated.

Respectfully submitted,



Kevin Roe  
USPTO Reg. No. 40,148

Dated: March 1, 2002

PTO/SB/97 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

## Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the  
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on 3/1/02  
Date

Kevin Roe  
Signature

KEVIN ROE  
Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify  
each submitted paper.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case.  
Any comments on the amount of time required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark  
Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents,  
Washington, DC 20231.

March 1, 2002

FAX to:

Examiner Tuan T. Dinh  
Art Unit 2827  
Box Non-Fee Amendment  
Washington, D. C. 20231  
Fax telephone number:  
Telephone number:

<sup>5841</sup>  
(703) 308-~~3431~~  
(703) 306-5856

FAX from:

Kevin Roe  
4100 Moorpark Ave, Suite 225  
San Jose, California 95117  
Office telephone number:  
Office fax number:

(408) 248-1958  
(408) 248-8568

Number of Pages (Including Cover Sheet): 4